

Wilfried Schmitz

Rechtsanwalt

RA Wilfried Schmitz, Mitglied der RA-Kammer Köln

An das

Bundesverwaltungsgericht
Geschäftsstelle 1. Wehrdienstsenat

schmitz.de
04107 Leipzig

Büro in 52538 Selfkant:

De-Plevitz-Str. 2

Telefon: 02456-5085590

Telefax: 02456-5085591

Mobil: 01578-7035614

Mobile Festnetz-Nr.:

02456-9539054

Email:

info@rechtsanwalt-wilfried-

Homepage abrufbar unter:

Rechtsanwalt-Wilfried-Schmitz.de

beA:

Schmitz, Wilfried (52538 Selfkant)

Steuernummer: 210/5145/1944

USt.-IdNr.: DE268254583

Zustellung über das beA

Bei Zahlungen bitte stets angeben:

Rechn.-Nr.:

Bei Antworten bitte stets angeben:

Aktenzeichen: .../ 2022

Selfkant, den 8.3.2022

In the military appeal proceedings

of Mr. ...

AZ. ...

I would like to thank you for the summons to the oral proceedings on April 1, 2022, which I received yesterday.

However, with regard to the "Notes on Protection against Infection" sent together with the summons, I kindly ask you to confirm that my clients and I, as their authorized representative, no longer have to submit a test certificate according to the currently applicable SächsCoronaSchVO of March 1, 2022.

According to § 6 para. 3 of the current SächsCoronaSchVO, such a 3G rule for access to courts only applies to honorary judges as well as to persons not involved in negotiations, interrogations or hearings.

With all the imponderables, no tightening of the anti-corona measures by a new version of the SächsCoronaSchVO should certainly be expected in the next few weeks.

This clarification would save me from having to comment further on why access to a court hearing cannot be made dependent on compliance with a 3G rule, if only for constitutional reasons - in particular on the basis of the guarantee of legal recourse in Article 19 (4) sentence 1 of the German Basic Law. This applies all the more as the PCR test is

demonstrably completely unsuitable to detect an acute infection with the SARS-CoV-2 virus. This could also be detected without any problems.

According to this side it also violates the dignity of a symptomless and therefore healthy person, if he has to prove the fact that he is healthy, in order to have to defend his interests in court, and this also on the basis of a completely unsuitable test.

These downright mask and test obligation orgies are, according to this side's assessment, ultimately only a means of the so-called white torture, in order to break the will of the people and to force them to undergo the life-threatening genetic interventions - which are declared as "vaccination" - that are the subject of dispute here.

On the subject of "white torture" we can recommend, for example, the article "Psychology, white torture and the responsibility of scientists" by Prof. Rainer Mausfeld, which is available to everyone under the link

https://www.uni-kiel.de/psychologie/mausfeld/pubs/Mausfeld_Psychologie%20%27weisse%20Folter%27%20und%20die%20Verantwortlichkeit%20von%20Wissenschaftlern_2009.pdf

free of charge.

Also we would like to ask already now politely for clarification that the procedure participants in the courtroom will be placed in any case after taking their seat freely whether they want to carry a mask during the hearing or not.

The still widespread claim that wearing mouth-nose coverings is suitable for reducing the risk of infection according to the state of scientific knowledge is demonstrably false, and this applies quite independently of the fact that the central claims on which all anti-corona measures since the end of March 2020 are based are also demonstrably false.

It must therefore be assumed that this absurd mask requirement in particular also served only to continue the staging of a "pandemic theater" and the implementation of a shock strategy, which is also officially talked about in particular in the BMI paper "How we get COVID-19 under control", which has long been generally known.

In the book "Virus delusion" of Dr. med. Köhnlein et al. the mask obligation is called consequently "summit of the absurdity" (ibid., sides 445 - 450 with numerous sources and studies). Among other things, it states there:

"For example, the renowned independent U.S. institute National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) showed in its meta-analysis with data from 24 countries and 25 U.S. states in August 2020 that prescribed measures such as mask-wearing do not have a relevant impact on the incidence of infection." (Köhnlein et al., ibid, page 445 with further references).

A study by Ines Kappstein also comes to the clear conclusion:

"The recommendation for MNB in public spaces has scientific basis and is even potentially even potentially counterproductive.

In view of the fact that an overload of the medical system and in particular of the intensive treatment capacity is not to be expected - and was never to be expected since March

Wilfried Schmitz

Rechtsanwalt

2020 - such a drastic measure as the general mask obligation for the vast majority of all citizens in public spaces cannot be justified and is also not in line with the WHO recommendations."

The full text of this study is available at:

<https://www.thieme-connect.com/products/ejournals/html/10.1055/a-1174-6591>

That "non-pharmaceutical measures" such as these lockdowns - to whose measures also this unspeakable mask obligation belongs - in view of the thereby allegedly intended containment of the spreading of the Corona virus in the long run no effect have, that one can likewise long relevant studies take, see among other things:

Analysis by Prof. Dr. Werner Müller, available at:

<https://www.prof-mueller.net/corona/analyse/>

Study by Isaac Ben-Israel, which is unfortunately only available in English:

<https://www.timesofisrael.com/the-end-of-exponential-growth-the-decline-in-the-spread-of-coronavirus/>

This study concludes:

"Our analysis shows that this is a constant pattern across countries. Surprisingly, this pattern is common to countries that have taken a severe lockdown, including the paralysis of the economy, as well as to countries that implemented a far more lenient policy and have continued in ordinary life."

As of 4/21/2021, a comprehensive meta-study on adverse effects of facemasks is available that evaluated 44 mostly experimental studies. The literature revealed relevant adverse effects of masks in numerous disciplines.

In this work, the psychological and physical deterioration and multiple symptoms described due to their consistent, recurrent, and uniform occurrence from different disciplines are referred to as mask-induced fatigue syndrome (MIES).

The objectified evaluation showed changes

in the respiratory physiology of mask wearers with a significant correlation of O₂ depletion and fatigue ($p < 0.05$), a clustered co-occurrence of respiratory impairment and O₂ depletion (67%), N95 mask and CO₂ rise (82%), N95 mask and O₂ drop (72%), N95 mask and headache (60%), respiratory impairment and temperature rise (88%), and also temperature rise and humidity (100%) among masks.

Expanded mask wearing by the general population could lead to relevant effects and consequences in many medical fields, according to the findings of this study.

Source:

<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18084344>; <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/18/8/4344Nun>

For further elaboration on the question of how wearing a mask affects the health of its wearers, especially when this is done under duress, I would like to refer to the extensive study on psychological complaints as a result of the current mouth-nose protection/mask-wearing regulations in Germany by Dipl.-Psychol. Daniela Prosa refer, callable in the full text among other things under:

<https://www.psycharchives.org/handle/20.500.12034/2751>

<https://www.rechtsanwalt-wilfried-schmitz.de/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Studie-zu-Psych.-Beschwerden-durch-Maskentragungspflicht.pdf>

This (quote) "Germany-wide first extensive and completed "Research-Gap"-Study with characteristic-specific sufficient representativeness and a sample size of 1.010 focuses strains, complaints and already occurred consequential damages in the context of the current mouth/nose protection regulations".

There, the introductory "Abstract" states, among other things (quote):

"The fact that about 60% of the people clearly burdened with the prescriptions already experience severe (psychosocial) consequences, such as a strongly reduced participation in life in society due to aversion-related MNS avoidance efforts, social withdrawal, lowered health self-care (up to the avoidance of medical appointments) or the intensification of pre-existing health problems (post-traumatic stress disorder, herpes, migraine), exceeded all expectations of the investigator.

The results urge a very timely review of the benefit-harm ratio of MNS prescriptions." (end of quote)

Since the detailed reproduction of the contents of this 128-page study would go beyond the scope of this brief, I would like to refer in full to the contents of this study in order to avoid repetitions and to maintain clarity, and thus make it the subject of my presentation. In the doctoral thesis of Ulrike Butz with the title "Rückatmung von Kohlendioxid bei Verwendung von Operationsmasken als hygienischer Mundschutz an medizinischem Fachpersonal" from 2004, available in full text at:

<https://mediatum.ub.tum.de/doc/602557/602557.pdf>

the summarizing result is that "under surgical face masks" (also) in normally breathing persons an "accumulation of carbon dioxide" is caused by the impaired permeability (note of the undersigned: permeability) of the masks (ibid., p. 43). It goes on to say (quote): Accumulation of carbon dioxide (22.49 mmHg, STEV 2.30) under each surgical operating mask studied increased the transcutaneously measured partial pressure of carbon dioxide (5.60 mmHG, STEV 2.38). This did not demonstrate a compensatory increase in respiratory rate or decrease in oxygen saturation. Since hypercapnia (signatory's note: increased carbon dioxide content in the blood) may limit various brain functions...".

Wikipedia describes the symptoms of hypercapnia as follows (quote):

"Initially, there is flushing of the skin, muscle twitching, extrasystoles. In the advanced stage, panic, seizures, impaired consciousness and finally coma (CO₂ narcosis) occur."

The biologist Clemens G. Arvay has already published on 3.4.2020 a YouTube video with the title "What a FIASKO, Mr. Kurz!", in which he criticizes the mask compulsion precisely because of his own observations in everyday life as "fatal" or "single fiasco", because in the folds of the masks a "virus-friendly climate" is created, with which these viruses are kept alive or active as long as possible.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=folhXr4gPIg&feature=youtu.be>

He therefore describes this mask obligation as completely "counterproductive". From his point of view it would be much better to simply omit the masks.

Here is just a small selection of other sources:

Wilfried Schmitz

Rechtsanwalt

Dr. med. Theo Kaufmann, a specialist in internal medicine and lung diseases, describes the masks not only as "completely ineffective" but also as a danger to the bronchopulmonary system in a letter to Minister President Schwesig:

"In addition to the ineffectiveness of these breathing masks, they accumulate fine dust in their tissues, which can lead to respiratory diseases with repeated use."

Source:

https://pflege-prisma.de/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/05.Dr_.-T._-Kaufmann_Mundschutz.pdf

The Swiss consumer magazine (K-Tipp) has now investigated how hygienic used masks are.

20 used masks from commuters were examined in this study. The result is alarming, because the masks are full of bacteria and mold. This can be explained as follows, breathing air flows through the fibers of the fabric, but bacteria and fungi get stuck in them. Due to the moist warm breathing air they multiply there rapidly.

11 of the 20 masks tested were reported to contain more than 100,000 bacterial colonies. Three even had more than 1 million. Staphylococci were found on 14 of the 20 masks; these can cause lung and brain infections. Fifteen of the 20 masks also contained molds and yeasts, which can cause respiratory and eye irritation.

Source:

<https://www.blick.ch/news/wirtschaft/gebrauchte-exemplare-getestet-so-gruusig-ist-ihre-corona-maske-wirklich-id16096358.html>

The study situation suggests that the incorrect use of masks, which can be observed in a large part of the population, actually increases the risk of infection. This is because hardly anyone adheres to the guidelines that the outside of the mask must never be touched, it must be changed after four hours, hands must be washed before and after each use, and masks must not be used more than once or washed hot after each use.

KOBAS, the Coordination Committee for Biological Agents (German Social Accident Insurance - DGUV), has issued a statement on this subject, which relates generally to the wearing of MNB in the workplace.

It recommends a maximum wearing time of two hours followed by a 30-minute recovery break; it is also possible to perform an activity without the need to wear an MNB (mixed

work). The finding is based on DGUV Regulation 112-190 "Use of occupational safety and health equipment", which applies to particle-filtering half masks and is to be applied analogously (according to the exposure profile). In accordance with DGUV Rule 112-190, the risk assessment must also check whether shorter intervals are required before breaks are taken due to the severity of the work, the environmental influences (temperature, humidity, etc.) and the work clothing (e.g. heavy protective clothing).

In addition, the following applies: The soaked MNB is to be changed, wearing time maximum one day (otherwise there is a risk of contamination), do not change the outside and inside of the MNB, touch only at the edge. According to KOBAS, the rules on wearing times are an important protective measure, which was also supported by a study conducted by Leipzig University Hospital: Healthy volunteers were each physically exposed without a mask, with surgical masks and FFP2 masks:

As far as the negative effects of wearing masks are concerned, the neurologist Dr. med. Margareta Griesz-Brisson explained in this regard in her interview for the magazine "Die Wurzel", issue no. 1/2021 there from page 30 onwards, among other things (quote):

„The root: You yourself do not wear a mask because, as a neurologist, you explicitly say that everyone has a right to medical exemption from the mask. Why do teachers, school directors and health authorities now talk about unfounded certificates?

Dr. Margareta Griesz-Brisson: I can't understand it and can hardly believe it. There is no such thing as an unfounded, false or favored certificate. Lack of oxygen harms every brain. It must be the free decision of every person whether he wants to accept the lack of oxygen of his brain if he wants to protect himself from viruses with an ineffective mask.

The medical profession is not necessarily a triviality. We sweated for a long time until we reached this level of competence. Now any salesperson can question a doctor's statement. That's like saying to a pilot, "It's nice that you have your pilot's license, but I'm flying the plane. That's insane..."

The root: If oxygen deficiency is so comprehensive for the health of the organism, why are the health authorities, health insurance companies and medical associations, which are supposed to be the health backbone of the citizens, silent?

Dr. M. Griesz-Brisson: That is exactly what I ask myself. It would have been their duty to oppose this madness with all determination right from the start and to stop it. And why then do the medical associations intervene to punish doctors who issue certificates to their patients? Does the doctor have to prove that oxygen deprivation is harming his patient? What kind of medicine do our medical associations represent? **The initial lack of evidence of effectiveness of these measures has now turned into clear evidence of ineffectiveness and non-effectiveness.** And yet the delusion continues." (end quote)

How this lack of oxygen caused by wearing masks affects the brain of every human being - especially the brain of children - has been impressively explained by Dr. med. Margareta Griesz-Brisson in the aforementioned interview, which is fully referred to in order to avoid repetition.

Conclusion:

Wilfried Schmitz

Rechtsanwalt

As far as the sense and nonsense of masks in virus protection is concerned, there is no "diversity" of opinions, but only unscientific "opinions" and scientifically founded statements such as those of Prof. Dr. Bhakdi and Prof. Dr. Veit. In any case, we are not aware of a refutation of this.

We have already pointed out that in the meantime relevant specialist literature has been published, which has comprehensively dealt with all central false assertions concerning the Corona Pandemic Theater and refuted them as such. There is therefore no reason whatsoever and no justification whatsoever for ordering the wearing of masks during a hearing.

Schmitz
Rechtsanwalt