

Wilfried Schmitz

Rechtsanwalt

RA Wilfried Schmitz, Mitglied der RA-Kammer Köln

An das

Bundesverwaltungsgericht
Geschäftsstelle 1. Wehrdienstsenat

04107 Leipzig

Zustellung über das beA

Büro in 52538 Selfkant:

De-Plevitz-Str. 2

Telefon: 02456-5085590

Telefax: 02456-5085591

Mobil: 01578-7035614

Mobile Festnetz-Nr.:

02456-9539054

Email:

ra.wschmitz@gmail.com

Homepage abrufbar unter:

Rechtsanwalt-Wilfried-Schmitz.de

beA:

Schmitz, Wilfried (52538 Selfkant)

Steuernummer: 210/5145/1944

USt.-IdNr.: DE268254583

Bei Zahlungen bitte stets angeben:

Rechn.-Nr.:

Bei Antworten bitte stets angeben:

Aktenzeichen: 37 + 58 / 2022

Selfkant, den 1.6.2022

In the military appeal proceedings

of Mr ...

AZ. ...

and

of Mr ...

AZ. ...

there would still be so much that could be introduced here with evidentiary arguments, if only one wanted to take up and deepen all the relevant aspects.

A)

A few more brief comments on "alternative" treatment protocols and medicines/ remedies for COVID-19:

I.

There are - as has already been stated, also with reference to the relevant information in the "exit concept" of the MWGFD e.V. - several medicines and treatment protocols that have proven to be very effective in the treatment of the disease COVID-19.

The US doctor **Dr. Wladimir Zelenko**, for example, has been able to treat thousands of his patients very successfully with a protocol he developed himself - and without experimental injections.

This protocol is available on the German-language website

<https://vladimirzelenkomd.com>

under the link "Covid-19 treatment protocol",

<https://vladimirzelenkomd.com>

can be accessed.

According to this website, Dr. Zelenke's outstanding references include (quote):

- "- Innovator of the Zelenko Protocol: 99% survival rate of Covid-19 high-risk patients.
- - Nominated for the Presidential Medal of Freedom
- - Recognised as a hero at US Senate Homeland Security Committee hearing
- - Published in top peer-reviewed journals with world-renowned physicians
- - Consultant to White House staff, multiple governments, hospitals, physicians, public figures
- - Board certified family physician with over 20 years of experience"

To prove the claim that (just also) the treatment protocol of Dr. Wladimir Zelenko offers a highly effective treatment of Covid-19 patients, I hereby refer to the testimony of Dr. Wladimir Zelenko.

His contact form can be found on the aforementioned homepage.

II.

Doctors from the ranks of the **COMUSAV International** organisation can confirm that chlorine dioxide has proven to be highly effective in the prophylaxis and therapy of covid-19 even before the start of the SARS-CoV2 "vaccination campaign", i.e. already in 2020 in numerous countries. Chlorine dioxide is not only highly effective, but also safe (non-toxic) and legal.

Proof: expert testimony of a doctor from the COMUSAV organisation,
Contact details:...

These contact details were taken from the German language website of this organisation, available at:

<https://comusav.de>

from the German website of this organisation.

Wilfried Schmitz

Rechtsanwalt

III.

The pharmaceutical industry and the politicians who follow its promises do not want to know anything about such treatment methods, which deserve preference over experimental injections with extremely high side-effect risks in every respect.

Is it because there are no billions to be made? And because it would not serve the narrative, so conducive to pharmaceutical sales, of keeping people in permanent panic mode, if the whole world knew about such alternatives?

Experts like Prof. Dr. Stefan Hockertz, who have strongly contradicted the official narrative of the blessing of novel gene therapeutics from the very beginning, have been fighting many odds for months. Experts like him lamented the end of free science, see YouTube video titled "COLLATERAL - The Professor", available at:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zrgZNZ20t74>

Many of the disastrous health consequences of gene-based injections are unlikely to be reversed even with such treatment protocols and cures.

B)

Replications of the experts:

I.

Prof. Dr. Arne Burkhardt gave his opinion on the respondent's objections in his written statement of 11.5.2022 on 24.5.2022.

A copy of this statement together with the photographs referred to therein is enclosed.

In order to avoid repetitions, the content of this statement by Prof. Burkhardt of 24.5.2022 is hereby referred to in full and thus raised to the submission of the complainants.

II.

Prof. Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi would like to limit himself to the following reply (quote):

"The counter-arguments advanced by the Ministry of Defence completely miss the core issues.

1.

The focal point is the irrefutable fact (not disputed by the Ministry of Defence) that the so-called vaccines are experimental medicines which may not be (compulsorily) administered without the consent of the persons concerned.

2.

The Ministry of Defence considers the "vaccination" to be sufficiently safe and useful, but cannot produce any evidence for this claim. This is to be sanctioned. The majority of all countries take a contrary view and distance themselves from any form of compulsory vaccination.

3.

The manufacturers themselves have stated that a protective effect of their "vaccines" cannot be guaranteed. And worse: the occurrence of severe side effects must be expected.

All in all, the views of the Ministry of Defence are thus far removed from reality and not up to date." (end quote)

C)

Regarding the most recent pleadings of the respondent (11.5., 22.5. and 25.5.2022):

According to internal coordination, Prof. Dr. Martin Schwab and his colleague Beate Bahner will first comment on the respondent's pleadings of 11.5. and 22.5.2022, if necessary.

Therefore, I will refrain from commenting on them for the time being.

In any case, the respondent's statement of 25.5.2022 completely ignores the factual and legal situation.

After the respondent declared - without any reservation - that it would not press the complainants any further until the conclusion of the main proceedings because of the estoppel in dispute, the complainants had to declare their interlocutory applications to be settled.

Therefore, it would only have been appropriate if the respondent had joined this declaration of settlement.

Since the respondent refuses to make this declaration, it is now requested for the two complainants in their proceedings on BVerwG 1 W-VR 3.22 and BVerwG 1 W-VR 1.22 respectively:

It is stated that the proceedings for interim relief have been disposed of.

However, since the Senate has suggested a suspension of the proceedings in the parallel military appeal proceedings on the obligation of the soldiers to tolerate, there are no objections if the Senate will only decide on these applications for a declaratory judgement after the conclusion of the proceedings on the merits.

Schmitz
Lawyer